

# Purbeck District Council Partial Review Options Consultation

# Response Form

es to this consultation can't be anonymous as the Council has a duty nake them available during the examination of the final plan. Whilst ss and postcode, only names will be published.
s you can register with our on-line consultation portal at <a href="mailto:c/portal">c/portal</a> and submit your comments.
base for further planning policy consultations. Please tick this box, if to our database - $\Box$

This information will be used to help us determine who is responding to our consultation, and whether we need to make additional efforts to engage with different groups of people.

Age Group (please tick	appropriate box)		
10 – 19 years		60 – 69 years	
20 – 29 years		70 – 79 years	
30 – 39 years		80 – 89 years	
40 – 49 years		90+ years	
50 – 59 years			
Employment Status (ple	ease tick appropriate box		
Employed		Unemployed	
Retired		Primary Carer	
Student		Self employed	

#### **Your comments**

You are **not required to answer all the questions**, e.g. you may be interested in specific subjects or locations and choose to limit your answers to these areas. Where you do respond, if you have any evidence to support your comments please enclose with your response form indicating which option, policy or issue it supports.

	1	
Do you agree with the proposed plan period?		Yes
		No
If you have any comments to make, please use the	space bel	ow.
Preferred Option 2 – Meeting Objectively Ass	sessed H	ousing Needs (Page 18)
Preferred Option 2 – Meeting Objectively Ass  Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080	□ Y	es
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080	□ Y	
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the	□ Y	es
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080	□ Y	es lo
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080 additional new homes between 2013 and 2033?	□ Y	es lo
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080 additional new homes between 2013 and 2033?	□ Y	es lo
Do you agree with the proposal to meet the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080 additional new homes between 2013 and 2033?	□ Y	es lo

Purbeck District Council Partial Review Options Consultation

<b>Alternative</b>	Option	1- Delivering	more	than	the	objectively	assessed	housing
need (Page 1	8)							

Do you think that the Council should plan to deliver more than the objectively assessed needs of around 3,080 additional new homes between 2013 and 2033?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If you have any comments to make, please use the	space below.
Issue 1 – Impact of Second Homes (Page 19)	
Please list any positive impacts of second homes i	n your community.
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?
What evidence do you have to support the impacts	?

Purbeck District Council Partial Review Options Consultation Please list any negative impacts of second homes in your community. What evidence do you have to support the impacts? **Preferred Option 3 – Development Strategy (Page 23)** Do you agree that the Development Strategy ☐ Yes should be new infrastructure-led with a focus on □ No sustainable locations, wherever possible? If you have any comments to make, please use the space below.

## Site 1 – Wool (Page 25)

Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this settlement?	<ul><li>☐ Agree</li><li>☐ Partially Agree – please explain below</li><li>☐ Disagree</li></ul>
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.
What infrastructure, services and faci development?	ilities would you like to see alongside the
Site 2 – Lytchett Minster (Page 27)	
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this settlement?	☐ Agree☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.

Purbeck District Council Partial Review Options Consultation

	ilities would you like to see alongside the
-	
Site 3 – West Wareham (Page 29)	
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this	☐ Agree
settlement?	☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
F	
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.
What infrastructure, services and faci development?	ilities would you like to see alongside the
developinent:	
1	

#### Site 4 – Moreton Station (Page 31)

Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this settlement?	☐ Agree☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.
in you have any comments to make, p	icase use the space below.
What infrastructure, services and faci development?	lities would you like to see alongside the
·	
Site 5 – Lytchett Matravers (Page	33)
Do you agree or disagree with the	☐ Agree
Council's proposals for this	☐ Partially Agree – please explain below
settlement?	☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.

What infrastructure, services and facilities v development?	vould you like to see alongside the
Site 6 – North Wareham (Page 36)	
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this settlement?	☐ Agree☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
	L Disagree
If you have any comments to make, please u	use the space below.
What infrastructure, services and facilities v	vould you like to see alongside the
development?	

#### Site 7 – Upton (Page 38)

Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this site?	☐ Agree☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, please u	se the snace helow
in you have any commente to make, please a	
What infrastructure, services and facilities w	auld you like to see alongside the
development?	ould you like to see alongside the
development:	
Site 8 – Langton Matravers (Page 40)	
Do you agree or disagree with the	☐ Agree
Council's proposals for this settlement?	☐ Partially Agree – please explain below
	☐ Disagree
	<b>D</b> Diagree
If you have any comments to make, please u	se the space below.

What infrastructure, services and facilitie development?	s would you like to see alongside the
·	
Site 9 – Harman's Cross (Page 42)	
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this settlement?	☐ Agree
Council's proposals for this settlement:	☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, pleas	se use the snace helow
	to use the space scient.
	to use the space scient.
	to use the space sciew.
	to use the space scient.
	to use the space scient.
	to use the space scient.
What infrastructure, services and facilitie	
What infrastructure, services and facilitie	

As an alternative to the site allocations in the preferred  Development Strategy, do you think that the Council should  No			
consider alternative option 2 instead?			
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.		
Site 10 – Alternative Option 2: Mo	preton (Page 46)		
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's alternative proposal for this settlement?	☐ Agree☐ Partially Agree – please explain below☐ Disagree		
	<u> </u>		
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.		
What infrastructure, services and facilities would you like to see alongside the development?			

#### Site 11 – Alternative Option 2: Lytchett Matravers (Page 46)

Do you agree or disagree with the	☐ Agree
Council's alternative proposal for	☐ Partially Agree – please explain below
this site?	
	☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
What infrastructure services and faci	ilities would you like to see alongside the
development?	miles would you like to see alongside the
development:	
Alternative Ontion 3 - Maximise I	housing in north east Purbeck (Page 49)
Alternative Option o maximise i	modeling in north cast randook (rage 43)
As an alternative to the site allocation	ns in the preferred
Development Strategy, do you think t	
consider alternative option 3 instead?	
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

#### Site 12 – Alternative Option 3: Lytchett Matravers (Page 50)

	( 0 /		
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's alternative proposal for this settlement?	<ul><li>☐ Agree</li><li>☐ Partially Agree – please explain below</li><li>☐ Disagree</li></ul>		
	<u> </u>		
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.		
n you have any commente to make, p			
What infrastructure, services and factorized development?	ilities would you like to see alongside the		
Site 13 – Alternative Option 3: Langton Matravers (Page 53)			
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's alternative proposal for this site?	<ul><li>☐ Agree</li><li>☐ Partially Agree – please explain below</li><li>☐ Disagree</li></ul>		
If you have any comments to make, p	lease use the space below.		

What infrastructure, services and facilities would you like to see alongside the development?		
Possible Additional Option – Rounding off sett	lement boundaries (Page 54)	
Do you think the Council should explore rounding off settlement boundaries further?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
If you have any comments to make, please use the sp	ace below.	
Site 14 – Possible Alternative Site (Page 54)		
Do you think the Council should explore the alternative site between Lytchett Minster and	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Lytchett Matravers further?	L NO	
If you have any comments to make, please use the sp	ace below.	

Preferred Option 4 – Employment Land (Page	Preferred Option 4 – Em	ployment Land	(Page 59)
--	-------------------------	---------------	-----------

Do you agree or disagree with the Council's allocate additional employment land as set document?		☐ Agree ☐ Disagree
If you have any comments to make, please u	ise the snace helow	
If you have any comments to make, please to	ise the space below	•
Site 15 – Expansion of Holton Heath Ti	rading Park (Page	60)
Do you agree or disagree with the Council's proposals for this site?	☐ Agree	
Council's proposals for this site:	☐ Partially Ao ☐ Disagree	gree – please explain below
	Disagree	
If you have any comments to make, please u	isa tha snaca halow	
if you have any comments to make, please to	ase the space below	•
Site 16 – Expansion of Sandford Lane	Industrial Estate	(Page 60)
Do you agree or disagree with the	☐ Agree	
Council's proposals for this site?	☐ Partially A	gree – please explain below
	☐ Disagree	

Purbeck District Council Partial Review Options Consultation If you have any comments to make, please use the space below. Site 17 - Corfe Castle Depot (Page 61) Do you agree or disagree with the ☐ Agree Council's proposals for this site? ☐ Partially Agree – please explain below ☐ Disagree If you have any comments to make, please use the space below. Site 18 - Amendment of safeguarded employment area at Dorset Green (Page **61)** Do you agree with the Council's Agree proposals for this site? ☐ Partially Agree – please explain below Disagree If you have any comments to make, please use the space below.

#### **Preferred Option 5 – Retail (Page 67)**

Do you agree with proposal to support the provision of			
small-scale food shops as part of the proposed housing		Yes No	
allocations at Wool, Lytchett Minster and West Wareham?		INU	
If you have any comments to make, please use the space belo	ow.		
Preferred Option 6 – Heathland Mitigation (Page 69)			
Do you agree with the proposal to continue with the current approach to heathland mitigation?		Yes No	
If you have any comments to make, please use the space belo	0W		
The state of the s			
Preferred Option 7 – Norden Park and Ride (Page 70)			
Preferred Option 7 – Norden Park and Ride (Page 70)  Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?		Yes No	
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of			
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of	ow.		
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?	ow.		
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?	ow.		
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?	ow.		
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?	ow.		
Do you agree with the proposal to support the expansion of Norden Park and Ride?	ow.		

#### Policy AH – Affordable Housing (Page 74)

Do you agree with the proposed updates to the policy?	☐ Yes
	□ No
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested updated policy, please use the space below.	changes to the proposed
Policy RES – Rural Exception Sites (Page 76)	
Do you agree with the proposed updates to the policy?	☐ Yes
	□ No
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested updated policy, please use the space below.	changes to the proposed
Policy AHT – Affordable Housing Tenure (Page 77)	
Do you agree with the proposed updates to the policy?	☐ Yes
	□ No
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested updated policy, please use the space below.	changes to the proposed

☐ Bovington Middle School

☐ Land at Keysworth Drive, Sandford

Policy HM – Housing Mix (Page 81)  Do you agree with the proposed policy?	If you have any comments to make, please use the space below.	
Policy HM – Housing Mix (Page 81)  Do you agree with the proposed policy?	If you have any comments to make, please use the space below.	
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?  Yes No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed		
☐ No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed	Policy HM – Housing Mix (Page 81)	
☐ No  If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed	Do you agree with the proposed policy?	☐ Yes
		□ No
	<u>'</u>	
	If you have any comments to make including any suggested change	e to the proposed
, produce dec die c parce in a pa		s to the proposed

#### **Preferred Option 9 – Care Home Options (Page 82)**

Which of the sites being considered for a

care home do you prefer?

	☐ Camp Farm, Sandford
If you have any comments to make, please use	the space below.

#### **Preferred Option 10 – Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople (Page 87)**

Do you agree with the proposal to develop a criteria-based policy for allowing sites for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If you have any comments to make, please use the space below	W.
Suggestions for criteria.	
Preferred Option 11 – Morden Country Park (Page 88)	
Do you agree with the proposal to allocate land for holiday chalets and public open space, subject to the agreement of a delivery mechanism for the strategic SANG?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If you have any comments to make, please use the space below	W.

#### Preferred Option 12 – Open Spaces and Green Infrastructure (Page 91)

Suggestions for new or improved open spaces that could be proposed development sites.	ovided alongside the
Issue 2 – Existing Policies (Page 93)	
Comments on any of the updates and/or alterations to existing plackground paper. Please be clear which policy you're comment	
Policy CCMA – Coastal Change Management Areas (Pag	ge 94)
Do you agree with the proposed policy?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	-
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested che policy, please use the space below.	anges to the proposed

## Policy OD – Occupational Dwellings in the Countryside (Page 96)

Do you agree with the proposed policy?		Yes No
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested changes to the proposed policy, please use the space below.		
Policy SUDS Sustainable Prainces Systems (Dans 27)		
Policy SUDS – Sustainable Drainage Systems (Page 97)		
Do you agree with the proposed policy?		Yes
		No
If you have any comments to make, including any suggested ch	anges to t	the proposed
policy, please use the space below.		
Jeous 2 Other plenning issues (Barra 20)		
Issue 3 – Other planning issues (Page 98)		
Are there any other planning issues the Partial Review should address that are not covered in the document? Please summarise them here.		